## OHIO PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION

65 East State Street, Suite 312 Columbus, Ohio 43215 (614) 466-0880

CB337

## APPLICATION FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE

Revised 6/90

IMPORTANT: Applicant should	consult the "Instructions for Completion of Proje	ect Apr	olication
	he proper completion of this form.		
APPLICANT NAME STREET	City of Cincinnati 801 Plum Street		
CITY/ZIP	Cincinnati, Ohio 45202	<del></del>	
PROJECT NAME PROJECT TYPE TOTAL COST	McHenry Avenue Rehabilitation Street Rehabilitation \$ 550,000	90 SEP 1 P3	OFFICE OF THE
DISTRICT NUMBER COUNTY	2 Hamilton	: 17	NEER HE
PROJECT LOCATION	ZIP CODE 45211		
	CT FUNDING RECOMMENDATION pleted by the District Committee ONLY		
RECOMMENDED AMOUNT	<b>OF FUNDING:</b> \$385,000.00		
FUND	ING SOURCE (Check Only One):		
State Issue 2 District Allocatio  X Grant Loan Loan Assistance	n State Issue 2 Small Governmen State Issue 2 Emergency Funds Local Transportation Improvement	5	d

FOR OPWC USE ONLY

OPWC PROJECT NUMBER:	OPWC FUNDING A	AMOUNT: \$

## 1.0 APPLICANT INFORMATION

1.5

DISTRICT LIAISON

TITLE

STREET

CITY/ZIP

PHONE FAX

1.1	CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER TITLE STREET	Gerald Newfarmer City Manager 801 Plum Street Room 152 City Mail
	CITY/ZIP PHONE FAX	Cincinnati, 45202 ( 513 ) 352 '- 3241 ( ) -
1.2	CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER TITLE STREET CITY/ZIP PHONE	Frank Dawson Director of Finance 801 Plum Street Room 250, City Hall Cincinnati 45202 (513) 352 -3732
	FAX	( )
1.3	PROJECT MGR TITLE STREET	Robert Cordes Principal Highway Design Engineer 801 Plum Street Room 435, City Hall
	CITY/ZIP PHONE FAX	<u>Cincinnati 45202</u> ( 513
1.4	PROJECT CONTACT TITLE STREET	Doug Perry Senior Engineer  801 Plum Street Room 435, City Hall
	CITY/ZIP PHONE FAX	<u>Cincinnati 45202</u> ( 513 ) <u>352 - 3407</u> ( ) -

William Brayshaw

Chief Deputy Engineer

223 West Galbraith Road Cincinnati 45215

513 ) <u>761 - 7400</u> 513 ) <u>761 - 9127</u>

Hamilton County Engineer's Office

#### 2.0 PROJECT INFORMATION

<u>IMPORTANT:</u> If project is multi-jurisdictional in nature, information must be <u>consolidated</u> for completion of this section.

- 2.1 PROJECT NAME: McHenry Avenue Rehabiltation
- 2.2 BRIEF PROJECT DESCRIPTION (Sections A through D):
  A. SPECIFIC LOCATION:

McHenry Avenue from Harrison Avenue to Baltimore Avenue (see attached map)

#### B. PROJECT COMPONENTS:

Rehabilitation of existing roadway including repair and replacement of curb, removal of existing asphalt surface, base and joint repairs, inlet and connection pipe repairs, casting adjustments and resurfacing with a minimum of 2 inches of asphaltic concrete.

#### C. PHYSICAL DIMENSIONS/CHARACTERISTICS:

Roadway is 4 lanes, 40 feet in width and 7136 feet in length.

#### D. DESIGN SERVICE CAPACITY:

IMPORTANT: Detail shall be included regarding current service capacity vs proposed service level. If road or bridge project, include ADT. If water or wastewater project, include current residential rates based on monthly usage of 7,756 gallons per household.

ADT = 8,600

No change in service capacity.

Will use standard rehabilitation practices to upgrade the roadway to an acceptable condition.

#### 2.3 REQUIRED SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

(Photographs/Additional Description; Capital Improvements Report; Priority List; 5-year Plan; 2-year Maintenance of Effort report, etc.) Also discuss the number of temporary and/or fulltime jobs which are likely to be created as a result of this project. Attach Pages. Refer to accompanying instructions for further detail.

#### 3.0 PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

#### 3.1 PROJECT ESTIMATED COSTS (Round to Nearest Dollar):

a)	Project Engineering Costs: 1. Preliminary Engineering 2. Final Design 3. Construction Supervision	\$ \$ \$
b)	Acquisition Expenses 1. Land 2. Right-of-Way	\$
c) d) e) f)	Construction Costs Equipment Costs Other Direct Expenses. Contingencies	\$ 550,000 \$ \$ \$ \$
g)	TOTAL ESTIMATED COSTS	\$ 550,000

#### 3.2 **PROJECT FINANCIAL RESOURCES** (Round to Nearest Dollar and Percent)

	*	Dollars	%
a)	Local In-Kind Contributions	\$	
b)	Local Public Revenues	\$ 165,000	30
c)	Local Private Revenues	\$	
ď)	Other Public Revenues	-	•
	1. ODOT	\$	
	2. FMHA	\$	
	3. OEPA	\$	
	4. OWDA	\$	
	5. CDBG.	\$	
	6. Other	\$	
e)	OPWC_Funds		
	1. Grant	\$ 385,000	<u> 70                                    </u>
	2. Loan	\$	
_	3. Loan Assistance	\$	
f)	TOTAL FINANCIAL RESOURCES	\$ 550,000	100

If the required local match is to be 100% In-Kind Contributions, list source of funds to be used for retainage purposes:

#### 3.3 AVAILABILITY OF LOCAL FUNDS

Indicate the status of <u>all</u> local share funding sources listed in section 3.2(a) through 3.4(c). In addition, if funds are coming from sources listed in section 3.2(d), the following information <u>must be attached to this project application</u>:

- 1) The date funds are available:
- 2) Verification of funds in the form of an agency approval letter or agency project number. Please include the name and number of the agency contact person.

	•		
3.4 PREPAID	ITEMS		
Definitions:			
Cost - Cost Item - Prepaid -	Total Cost of the Prepaid Non-construction costs, design, acquisition expen Cost items (non-construct paid prior to receipt of OPWC.	including preliminar ses (land or right-of- ion costs directly rel	way). ated to the project)
Resource Category - Verification -	Source of funds (see section invoice(s) and copies of accompanied by Project	f warrant(s) used to	
IMPORTANT: Verification	of all prepaid items shall	be attached to this	project application
COST ITEM	RESOUR	CE CATEGORY	COST
1)			\$
2)			\$
3)			\$
TOTAL OF	PREPAID ITEMS \$		
3.5 REPAIR/R	EPLACEMENT or NEW/EX	PANSION	
This section need only	be completed if the Projection	ct is to be funded b	y SI2 funds:
State Issue 2 Fur	OJECT REPAIR/REPLACEMENT ads for Repair/Replacement ceed 90%)		
State Issue 2 Fur	OJECT NEW/EXPANSION ands for New/Expansion ceed 50%)	\$	%
4.0 PROJECT SO		ESTIMATED COMPLETE DA	ATE

4.1	ENGR. DESIGN	<u> 10 / 1 / 90</u>	<u> 5 / 1 / 91</u>
4.2	BID PROCESS	5 / 1 / 91	7 / 1 / 91
4.3	CONSTRUCTION	7 / 1 / 91	7 / 1 / 92

### 5.0 APPLICANT CERTIFICATION

The Applicant Certifies That:

As the official representative of the Applicant, the undersigned certifies that: (1) he/she is legally empowered to represent the applicant in both requesting and accepting financial assistance as provided under Chapter 164 of the Ohio Revised Code and 164-1 of the Ohio Administrative Code; (2) that to the best of his/her knowledge and belief, all representations that are a part of this application are true and correct; (3) that all official documents and commitments of the applicant that are a part of this application have been duly authorized by the governing body of the Applicant; (4) and, should the requested financial assistance be provided, that in the execution of this project, the Applicant will comply with all assurances required by Ohio law, including those involving minority business utilization, Buy Ohio, and prevailing wages.

IMPORTANT: Applicant certifies that physical construction on the project as defined in this application has not begun, and will not begin, until a Project Agreement on this project has been issued by the Ohio Public Works Commission. Action to the contrary is evidence that OPWC funds are not necessary to complete this project.

iMPORTANT: In the event of a project cost underrun, applicant understands that the identified local match share (sections 3.2(a) through 3.2(c) will be paid in full toward completion of this project. Unneeded OPWC funds will be returned to the funding source from which the project was financed.

Michael Bierman, Acting City Manager
Certifying Representative (Type Name and Title)

Tyl	mes alastolle 9/14/90
Signature/[	Date Signed
Applicant shall application:	check each of the statements below, confirming that all required information is included in this
	A <u>five-year Capital improvements Report</u> as required in 164-1-31 of the Ohio Administrative Code and a <u>two-year Maintenance of Local Effort Report</u> as required in 164-1-12 of the Ohio Administrative Code.
	A registered professional engineer's estimate of useful life as required in 164-1-13 of the Ohlo Administrative Code. Estimate shall contain engineer's <u>original seal and signature</u> .
	A registered professional engineer's estimate of cost as required in 164-1-14 and 164-1-16 of the Ohio Administrative Code. Estimate shall contain engineer's <u>original seal and signature</u> .
	A certified copy of the legislation by the governing body of the applicant authorizing a designated official to submit this application and to execute contracts.
YES N/A	A copy of the cooperation agreement(s) (for projects involving more than one subdivision or district).
YES N/A	Copies of all Involces and warrants for those Items Identified as "pre-paid" in section 4.4 of this application.

## 6.0 DISTRICT COMMITTEE CERTIFICATION

The District That:	Integrating	Committee	for	District	Number	2	Ce	rtifies
as provided selected by Committee; District-orien that are fu Sections 16 Administration recommend financial resconsideration ratings under	ned nereby I under Cha the approted that the p ted set of p lity reflective 4.05, 164.0a re Code; a ed has be ources avail n of required or such criter	ative of the Experience 164 of apter 164 of apter 264 of and in 65, and 164 and that the able to the diproject evolution are attaction are attaction are attaction and are attaction are attaction.	the the control of th	this app the Dio the Dio was be n criteri nformar and erived ect. As tion criteri to this	elication for Revised (strict Publicated entited entitied entited entited entited entited entited entitied entitied entited entitied entited entitied enti	or financia Code has Code has irely on ar election ma Ohio Rev 164-1 of lal assistan deration of e of the Di results of th	I assisted been Integral objections objections of the ince he istrict's	ance duly ating ctive, ology Code Ohio ereby other
DONALD C. S	CHRAMM, CHAI	RMAN DISTRICT	#2	INTEGRAT	ING COMMI	TTEE		
Certifying Re	presentative	Э (Туре Nam	e a	nd Title)	)			

## TWO YEAR MAINTENANCE OF LOCAL EFFORT REPORT

#### CINCINNATI CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET, 1988

PROJECT NAME	PROJECT TYPE	FUNDING SOURCE	FU	NDING AMOUNT
Street Rehabilitation	Rehabilitation	Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$	7,750,000
Street Rehabilitation	Rehabilitation	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	\$	1,850,000
Southside Avenue Bridge Replacement	Replacement	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	\$	1,426,000
Eggleston Avenue Improvement	Widening & Channelizing	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	\$	325,000
Bridge Investment Protection Program	Rehabilitation	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	\$	125,000
Wall Stabilization & Landslide Correction	Rehabilitation & Replacement	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	\$	500,000
City Sidewalks, Drives, Etc.	Replacement	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	\$	375,000
City Hillside Stair Renovation	Rehabilitation & Replacement	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	\$	50,000
Impract Attenuators	Installation	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	\$	50,000
Hopple-Beekman- Westwood Northern Blvd. Intersection	Widening	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	\$	100,000
Bridge Rehabilitation	Rehabilitation	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	\$	310,000

#### TWO YEAR MAINTENANCE OF LOCAL EFFORT REPORT

#### CINCINNATI CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET, 1989

PROJECT NAME	PROJECT TYPE	FUNDING SOURCE	FUNI	DING AMOUNT
Hopple-Beekman- Westwood Northern Blvd. Intersection	Widening	Street Improvement Bond Fund (from Issue 1 Funds)	\$	315,000
Monastery Street	Hillside Stabilization	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	\$	300,000
Guerley Road	Widening	Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$	50,000
Street Rehabilitation	Rehabilitation	Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$ 1	,710,000
City Sidewalks, Drives, Etc.	Replacement	Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$	200,000
City Hillside Stair Renovation	Rehabilitation & Replacement	Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$	190,000
Wall Stabilization & Landslide Correction	Rehabilitation & Replacement	Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$	500,000
Belmont Avenue	Widening	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	\$	300,000
Brighton Connection	Intersection Improvement	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	\$	400,000
Calhoun Street	Widening	Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$	100,000
Clifton Avenue	Realignment	Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$	150,000
Elberon Avenue	Landslide Correction	Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$	60,000

Hamilton Avenue	TWO YEAR MAINTENANCE Widening	OF LOCAL EFFORT REPORT Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$ 200,000
Maryland Avenue	Landslide Correction	Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$ 100,000
Queen City Avenue	Widening	Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$ 700,000
Rapid Transit Tubes Under Central Parkway	Rehabilitation	Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$ 300,000
Stadium/Coliseum Bridges	Rehabilitation	Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$ 120,000
Waits Avenue	Widening	Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$ 50,000
Waldvogel Viaduct	Rehabilitation	Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$ 200,000
Warsaw/Waldvogel Ramp	Landslide Correction	Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$ 130,000
Groesbeck Road	Widening	Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$ 100,000
U.S. 50/Sixth Street Expressway	Rehabilitation	Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$ 100,000

### TWO YEAR MAINTENANCE OF LOCAL EFFORT REPORT

### CINCINNATI CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET, 1990

PROJECT NAME	PROJECT TYPE	FUNDING SOURCE	FUNDING AMOUNT
Street Rehabilitation	Rehabilitation	Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$ 5,200,000
Street Rehabilitation	Rehabilitation	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	\$ 110,000
Southside Avenue Bridge Replacement	Replacement	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	\$ 100,000
Queen City and LaFeuille	Intersection Improvement	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	\$ 325,000
Bridge Investment Protection Program	Rehabilitation	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	\$ 60,000
Wall Stabilization & Landslide Correction	Rehabilitation & Replacement	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	\$ 400,000
City Sidewalks, Drives, Etc.	Replacement	Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$ 300,000
City Hillside Stair Renovation	Rehabilitation & Replacement	Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$ 290,000
Lincoln, Alms and M.L. King	Intersection Improvemtnt	Street Improvement Bond Fund	\$ 310,000
Cinti-Newport Bridge Approach	Widening	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	\$ 550,000
Bridge Rehabilitation	Rehabilitation	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	\$ 1,300,000

TW Stadium/Coliseum Bridges	O YEAR MAINTENANCE OF LO Rehabilitation	CAL EFFORT REPORT Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	\$ 80,000
Sixth St. Expressway Millcreek to I-75	Rehabilitation	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	\$ 300,000
Waldvogel Viaduct	Rehabilitation	Street Improvement	\$ 500,000

# City of Cincinnati



Department of Public Works Division of Engineering Room 440, City Hall 801 Plum Street Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

George Rowe Director Thomas E. Young City Engineer

September 14, 1990

Subject: McHenry Avenue Rehabilitation

Harrison to Baltimore

Certification of Useful Life of Issue 2 OPWC Projects

As required by Chapter 164-1-13 of the Ohio Administrative Code, I hereby certify that the design useful life of the subject street rehabilitation project is at least twenty (20) years.



(seal)

T. E. Young, P.E. City Engineer

City of Cincinnati

## 1991 STREET REHABILITATION, STATE ISSUE #2 McHenry Avenue

REF.	ITEM NO.	ESTIMATED QUANTITIES	DESCRIPTION	EST. UNIT PRICE	ESTIMATED COST
1	103.05	Lump Sum	Contract Bond		\$3,725.00
2	Special	1,800 s.y.	Part Depth Pavt. Rep(Conc. Pavt.)	\$27.00	\$48,600.00
3	Special	100 c.y.	Maintenance Patching	\$80.00	\$8,000.00
4	Special	100 l.f.	Connection Pipe Cleaned	\$10.00	\$1,000.00
5	202	1,500 s.y.	Rigid Pavt. Removed-Full Depth	\$25.00	\$37,500.00
6	202	30,000 s.y.	Wearing Course Removed	\$1.50	\$45,000.00
7	301	380 c.y.	Bituminous Aggregate Base( 9")	\$85.00	\$32,300.00
8	304	50 с.у.	Aggregate Base	\$25.00	\$1,250.00
9	403	850 c.y.	Asphalt Concrete Leveling Course	\$62.00	\$52,700.00
10	404	850 с.у.	Asphalt Concrete Surface Course	\$62.00	\$52,700.00
11	603	50 1.f.	12" Conduit, Type "H"	\$30.00	\$1,500.00
12	604	37 ea.	Manhole Adjust to Grade W/O Ring	\$175.00	\$6,475.00
13	604	20 ea.	Valve Chambers Adjust W/O Ring	\$175.00	\$3,500.00
14	604	7 ea.	SGI Adjusted To Grade	\$220.00	\$1,540.00
15	604	6 ea.	SGI Repaired & Adjusted To Grade	\$240.00	\$1,440.00
16	604	19 ea.	DGI Adjusted To Grade	\$230.00	\$4,370.00
17	604	19 ea.	DGI Repaired & Adjusted To Grade	\$260.00	\$4,940.00
18	608	750 s.f.	Handicap Ramp	\$4.00	\$3,000.00
19	608	240 s.f.	Concrete Walk	\$4.00	\$960.00
20	609	13,000 1.f.	Concrete Curb Repair, Type P-4	\$16.00	\$208,000.00
21	609	200 l.f.	Concrete Curb , Type S-1	\$15.00	\$3,000.00
22	609	150 l.f.	Concrete Curb ,Type L-1	\$8.00	\$1,200.00
23	627	350 s.f.	Concrete Driveway	\$5.00	\$1,750.00
24	660	12,000 l.f.	Sod Restoration	\$2.00	\$24,000.00
25	1125	5 ea.	Reset Ex. Valve Box W/O Adjusters	\$110.00	\$550.00
26	619	Lump Sum	Field Office		\$1,000.00
				Total Cost	\$550,000.00



T. E. Young, R. E. City Engineer City of Cincinnati

### 3.3 AVAILABILITY OF LOCAL FUNDS

LOCAL SHARE OF THE PROJECT COSTS WILL COME FROM CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT FUNDS WHICH WILL BE APPROVED AS PART OF THE CITY'S 1991 BUDGET. CAPITAL FUNDS COME FROM CITY INCOME TAX REVENUE AND THE SALE OF BONDS.



#### SUPPORTING INFORMATION

#### TEMPORARY JOBS:

This project will result in temporary employment due to construction work. Approximately ten (10) to fifteen (15) short-term construction jobs will be created as a result of this project.

#### FULL-TIME JOBS:

We are not able to forsee any new, full-time employment as a result of this project.

#### ADDITIONAL SUPPORT INFORMATION

For 1991, jurisdictions shall complete the State application form for Issue 2, Small Government, or Local Transportation Improvement Program (LTIP) funding. In addition, the District 2 Integrating Committee requests the following information to determine which projects are funded. Do NOT request a specific type of funding desired, as this is decided by the District Integrating Committee.

1. Of the total infrastructure within the jurisdiction which is similar to the infrastructure of this project, what percentage can be classified as being in poor condition, adequacy and/or serviceability?

Typical examples are:

Road percentage= Miles of road that are in poor condition Total miles of road within jurisdiction

Storm percentage= <u>Miles of storm sewers that are in poor condition</u>
Total miles of storm sewers within jurisdiction

Bridge percentage= <u>Number of bridges that are in poor condition</u>

Number of bridges within jurisdiction

Road Percentage = Total Miles = 915 = 21.9%

 What is the condition of the existing infrastructure to be replaced, repaired, or expanded? For bridges, base condition on latest general appraisal and condition rating.

Closed	 Poor		
Fair	 Good		

Give a brief statement of the nature of the deficiency of the present facility such as: inadequate load capacity (bridge); surface type and width; number of lanes; structural condition; substandard design elements such as berm width, grades, curves, sight distances, drainage structures, or inadequate service capacity. If known, give the approximate age of the infrastructure to be replaced, repaired, or expanded.

pavement shows sign of severe wear - Pavement failures, heaved
joints, spalled and deteriorated curb, inlet failures, and general
deterioration of existing roadway. Age of pavement is 35 years (+-)

3.	If State Issue 2 funds are awarded, how soon (in after completion of the agreement with OPWC would to occur?	n week he ope	s or maing o	onths) f bids
	Please indicate the current status of the projections the appropriate answers below.	ect de	evelopm	ent by
	a) Has the Consultant been selected?	Yes	No	N/A
	b) Preliminary development or engineering completed?	Yes	<b>6</b>	N/A
	c) Detailed construction plans completed?	Yes	NO	N/A
	d) All right-of-way acquired?	Yes	No	N/A
	e) Utility coordination completed?	Yes	M <sub>O</sub>	N/A
	Give estimate of time, in weeks or months, to compl not yet completed.	ete an	y item	above
	within 3 months of approval by OPWC, all above work vso that projects can be awarded in 1990.	ill be	compl	eted
4.	How will the proposed infrastructure activity is health, welfare, and safety of the service area? include the effects of the completed project of emergency response time, fire protection, healtheafts, and commerce.)  Will assist in maintaining current tax base and also	(Typ: on acc ith ha	ical ex ident azards,	amples rates,
	satisfactory road network for future development.	<del> </del>		
5.	For any project involving GRANTS, the local jurisd: a MINIMUM OF 10% of the anticipated conditionally, the local jurisdiction must pay 100 preliminary engineering, inspection of construction acquisition. If a project is to be funded under Government, the costs of any betterment/expansional matching funds must either be currently of jurisdiction, or certified as having been approved outside agency (MRF, CDBG, etc.). Proposed funding the Project Application under Section 3.2, Resources". For a project involving LOANS or CD 100% of construction costs are eligible for fundamental required.	onstructions of and are Issued on are on deported or encoding must report a record a	the co right- ie 2 or 100% sit wi imbered be sh ct Fin	cost. sts of of-way Small local. th the by an own on ancial
	What matching funds are to be used for this projestate, MRF, Local, etc.)	ect? (:	i.e. Fe	deral,
	Local Capital Improvement Bond Funds.			
	To what extent are matching funds to be utilipercentage of anticipated CONSTRUCTION costs?	zed, e	xpresse	ed as a
	30%			

6.	Has any formal action by a federal, state, or local government agency resulted in a complete ban or partial ban of the use or expansion of use for the involved infrastructure? (Typical examples include weight limits, truck restrictions, and moratoriums or limitations on issuance of new building permits.) THE BAN MUST HAVE AN ENGINEERING JUSTIFICATION TO BE CONSIDERED VALID.
	COMPLETE BAN PARTIAL BAN NO BAN X
	will the ban be removed after the project is completed? YES NO
	Document with <u>specific information</u> explaining what type of ban currently exists and the agency that imposed the ban.
7.	What is the total number of existing users that will benefit as a result of the proposed project? Use appropriate criteria such as households, traffic counts, ridership figures for public transit, daily users, etc., and equate to an equal measurement of users:
	ADT = 8600 USERS = 10,360
	For roads and bridges, multiply current <u>documented</u> Average Daily Traffic by 1.2 occupants per car (I.T.E. estimated conversion factor) to determine users per day. Ridership figures for public transit <u>must be documented</u> . Where the facility currently has any restrictions or is partially closed, use documented traffic counts prior to restriction. For storm sewers, sanitary sewers, water lines, and other related facilities, multiply the number of households in the service area by four (4) to determine the approximate number of users per day.
8.	The Ohio Public Works Commission requires that all jurisdictions applying for project funding develop a five year overall Capital Improvement Plan that shall be updated annually. The Plan is to include an inventory and condition survey of existing capital improvements, and a list detailing a schedule for capital improvements and/or maintenance. Both Five-Year Overall and Five-Year Issue 2 Capital Improvement Plans are required.
	Copies of these Plans are to be submitted to the District Integrating Committee at the same time the Project Application is submitted.
9.	Is the infrastructure to be improved part of a facility that has regional significance? (Consider the number of jurisdictions served, size of service area, trip lengths, functional classification, and length of route.) Provide supporting information.
	This street is part of the Federal Aid Urban System and is classified as a thoroughfare.

#### OHIO INFRASTRUCTURE BOND PROGRAM (ISSUE 2)

#### LOCAL TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (LTIP)

#### DISTRICT 2 - HAMILTON COUNTY

#### 1991 PROJECT SELECTION CRITERIA

jurisdiction/agency: <u>Cincinnati</u>			
	PROJECT IDENTIFICATION:  M-HENRY AVENUE TEHOBILITATION		
PROPOSED 1	FUNDING: Harrison to Baltimore		
ELIGIBLE (	LATEGORY:		
POINTS			
_/D_ :	) Type of project		
	10 Points - Bridge, road, stormwater 5 Points - All other projects		
	If Issue 2/LTIP funds are granted, how soon after the Project Agreement is completed would a construction contract be awarded? (Even though the jurisdictions will be asked this question, the Support Staff will assign points based of engineering experience.)		
10	10 Points - Will definitely be awarded in 1991 5 Points - Some doubt whether it can be awarded in 1991 0 Points - No way it can be awarded in 1991		
# 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	what is the condition of the infrastructure to be replace or repaired? For bridges, base condition on latest genera appraisal and condition rating.		
122 - 5 5 1 13 - TAIL	15 Points - Poor condition 10 Points - Fair to Poor condition 5 Points - Fair condition		

NOTE: If infrastructure is in "good" or better condition, it will NOT be considered for Issue 2/LTIP funding, unless it is a betterment project that will improve serviceability.

If the project is built, what will be its effect on the 4) facility's serviceability? 5 Points - Will significantly effect serviceability 4 Points -3 Points - Will moderately effect serviceability 2 Points -1 Point - Will have little or no effect on serviceability of the total infrastructure within the jurisdiction which is 5) similar to the infrastructure of this project, what portion can be classified as being in poor or worse condition, and/or inadequate in service? 10 Points - 50% and over 8 Points - 40% to 49% 6 Points - 30% to 39% 4 Points - 20% to 29% 2 Points - 10% to 19% 0 Points - Less than 10% 62 How important is the project to the health, welfare, and 6) safety of the public and the citizens of the District and/or the service area? 10 Points - Significant importance 8 Points -6 Points - Moderate importance 4 Points -2 Points - Minimal importance 6 what is the overall economic health of the jurisdiction? 7) 10 Points - Poor 8 Points -6 Points - Fair 4 Points -2 Points - Excellent 3 What matching funds are being committed to the project, 8) expressed as a percentage of the TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST? Matching funds may be local, Federal, ODOT, MRF, etc. or a combination of funds. 5 Points - More than 50% 4 Points - 40% to 49.9% 3 Points - 30% to 39.9% 2 Points - 20% to 29.9% 1 Point - 10% to 19.9%

- 9) Has any formal action by a Federal, State, or local governmental agency resulted in a partial or complete ban of the usage or expansion of the usage for the involved infrastructure? Examples include weight limits on structures and moratoriums on building permits in a particular area due to local flooding downstream. Points can be awarded ONLY if construction of the project being rated will cause the ban to be removed.
  - 10 Points Complete ban
    - 5 Points Partial ban
    - 0 Points No ban
- 85010
- 10) What is the total number of existing daily users that will benefit as a result of the proposed project? Appropriate criteria includes traffic counts & households served, when converted to a measurement of persons. Public transit users are permitted to be counted for roads and bridges, but only when certifiable ridership figures are provided.
  - 10 Points 10,000 and Over
    - 8 Points 7,500 to 9,999
    - 6 Points 5,000 to 7,499
    - 4 Points 2,500 to 4,999
    - 2 Points 2,499 and Under



- 11) Does the infrastructure have regional impact? Consider originations & destinations of traffic, size of service area, number of jurisdictions served, functional classification, etc.
  - 5 Points Major impact
  - 4 Points -
  - 3 Points Moderate impact
  - 2 Points -
  - 1 Point Minimal or no impact

TOTAL AVAILABLE = 100 POINTS